Top Secret

Tactical-National Intelligence Interface Studies

Report on the

PILOT STUDY ON NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO FIELD COMMANDERS

Top Secret

25X1

Warning Notice Sensitive Intelligence Sources and Methods Involved (WNINTEL)

NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION Unauthorized Disclosure Subject to Criminal Sanctions

DISSEMINATION CONTROL ABBREVIATIONS

NOFORN-Not Releasable to Foreign Nationals NOCONTRACT— Not Releasable to Contractors or

Contractor/Consultants

Caution-Proprietary Information Involved
USIB Departments Only
Dissemination and Extraction of Information PROPIN-

USIBONLY-

ORCON-

Controlled by Originator

REL . . . — This Information has been Authorized for

Release to ...

Classified by TKH-1
Exempt from General Declassification Schedule
of E.O. 11652, exemption category:
§ 5B(2), and (3)
Automatically declassified on:
date impossible to determine

Approved For Release 2003/12/09	: CIA-RDP82M00311R000200020001-8	3
---------------------------------	----------------------------------	---

25X1 TOP SECRET

Tactical-National Intelligence Interface Studies

REPORT ON THE PILOT STUDY ON NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO FIELD COMMANDERS

12 January 1976

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET	·		
	Distribution:		
	1—Chairman, Joint Chie	efs of Staff	
	2—Deputy to the DCI for	or the Intelligence Community	
	3-12—Deputy Director of C		
	13-16—Assistant Chief of \$ta	aff for Intelligence, Department of	the Army
	17-21—Director of Naval Int	elligence, Department of the Navy	
	22-26—Assistant Chief of \$ta	aff, Intelligence, Department of the	
	27—Director of Intelligen	ce, Headquarters Marine Corps	
	28-33—Director, Defense Int	elligence Agency (Attn: DIA DP)	
	34-36—Director, National Se	curity Agency	
	37-38—Deputy Under Secret	ary of the Air Force for Space Sys	tems
	39—Director, Intelligence	Directorate, US European Comma	and
,	40—Director, Joint Staff,	OJCS	
	41—Director for Plans an		
		Planning, Intelligence Community	Staff
	43-47—Joint Staff, OJCS (for	r further distribution)	
	48-75—IC Staff Registry		

TOP SECRET

iv

25X1 TOP SECRET

CONTENTS

Purpose		Pag
Purpose	Executive Summary	•
Study Organization Background Terms of Reference Criteria for Comparison Scope Chapter II—Scenario Development and Study Assumptions Contingency Plan Assumptions for the Pilot Study Pilot Study Scenario Chapter III—Theater Information Needs and Intelligence Capabilities III Theater Information Needs Theater Collection and Reporting Capabilities III Assessment of Theater Assets to Satisfy Theater EEI Theater Capability to Satisfy National EEI Chapter IV—National Foreign Intelligence Capabilities National Strategic EEI National Assets Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI National Assets Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI	Chapter I—Introduction	I-
Study Organization Background Terms of Reference Criteria for Comparison Scope Chapter II—Scenario Development and Study Assumptions Contingency Plan Assumptions for the Pilot Study Pilot Study Scenario Chapter III—Theater Information Needs and Intelligence Capabilities Theater Information Needs Theater Collection and Reporting Capabilities Theater Tasking Against Theater EEI Assessment of Theater Assets to Satisfy Theater EEI Theater Capability to Satisfy National EEI Chapter IV—National Foreign Intelligence Capabilities National Foreign Intelligence Assets Availability National Assets Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI	Purpose	I-
Background Terms of Reference Criteria for Comparison Scope Chapter II—Scenario Development and Study Assumptions Contingency Plan Assumptions for the Pilot Study Pilot Study Scenario Chapter III—Theater Information Needs and Intelligence Capabilities Theater Information Needs Theater Collection and Reporting Capabilities Theater Tasking Against Theater EEI Assessment of Theater Assets to Satisfy Theater EEI Theater Capability to Satisfy National EEI Chapter IV—National Foreign Intelligence Capabilities National Foreign Intelligence Assets Availability National Strategic EEI National Assets Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI	Study Organization	I-
Terms of Reference Criteria for Comparison Scope Chapter II—Scenario Development and Study Assumptions Contingency Plan Assumptions for the Pilot Study Pilot Study Scenario Chapter III—Theater Information Needs and Intelligence Capabilities Theater Information Needs Theater Collection and Reporting Capabilities Theater Tasking Against Theater EEI Assessment of Theater Assets to Satisfy Theater EEI Theater Capability to Satisfy National EEI Chapter IV—National Foreign Intelligence Capabilities National Foreign Intelligence Assets Availability National Strategic EEI National Assets Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI	Background	I-
Criteria for Comparison Scope Chapter II—Scenario Development and Study Assumptions Contingency Plan Assumptions for the Pilot Study Pilot Study Scenario Chapter III—Theater Information Needs and Intelligence Capabilities Theater Information Needs Theater Collection and Reporting Capabilities Theater Tasking Against Theater EEI Assessment of Theater Assets to Satisfy Theater EEI Theater Capability to Satisfy National EEI Chapter IV—National Foreign Intelligence Capabilities National Foreign Intelligence Assets Availability National Strategic EEI National Assets Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI National Assets Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI	Terms of Reference	I-
Chapter II—Scenario Development and Study Assumptions Contingency Plan Assumptions for the Pilot Study Pilot Study Scenario Chapter III—Theater Information Needs and Intelligence Capabilities Theater Information Needs Theater Collection and Reporting Capabilities Theater Tasking Against Theater EEI Assessment of Theater Assets to Satisfy Theater EEI Theater Capability to Satisfy National EEI Chapter IV—National Foreign Intelligence Capabilities National Foreign Intelligence Assets Availability National Strategic EEI National Assets Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI National Assets	Criteria for Comparison	I-
Contingency Plan	Scope	I-
Assumptions for the Pilot Study Pilot Study Scenario Chapter III—Theater Information Needs and Intelligence Capabilities III Theater Information Needs Theater Collection and Reporting Capabilities III Assessment of Theater Assets to Satisfy Theater EEI Theater Capability to Satisfy National EEI Chapter IV—National Foreign Intelligence Capabilities National Foreign Intelligence Assets Availability National Strategic EEI National Assets Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI III National Assets III National Assets III National Assets III National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI III National Assets III National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI	Chapter II—Scenario Development and Study Assumptions	II-
Assumptions for the Pilot Study Pilot Study Scenario Chapter III—Theater Information Needs and Intelligence Capabilities Theater Information Needs Theater Collection and Reporting Capabilities Theater Tasking Against Theater EEI Assessment of Theater Assets to Satisfy Theater EEI Theater Capability to Satisfy National EEI Chapter IV—National Foreign Intelligence Capabilities National Foreign Intelligence Assets Availability National Strategic EEI National Assets Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI IV Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI	Contingency Plan	II-
Pilot Study Scenario	Assumptions for the Pilot Study	II-
Theater Information Needs Theater Collection and Reporting Capabilities Theater Tasking Against Theater EEI Assessment of Theater Assets to Satisfy Theater EEI Theater Capability to Satisfy National EEI Chapter IV—National Foreign Intelligence Capabilities National Foreign Intelligence Assets Availability National Strategic EEI National Assets Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI III	Pilot Study Scenario	II-
Theater Collection and Reporting Capabilities III Theater Tasking Against Theater EEI III Assessment of Theater Assets to Satisfy Theater EEI III Theater Capability to Satisfy National EEI III Chapter IV—National Foreign Intelligence Capabilities IV National Foreign Intelligence Assets Availability IV National Strategic EEI IV National Assets IV Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI IV	Chapter III—Theater Information Needs and Intelligence Capabilities	III-
Theater Collection and Reporting Capabilities	Thereton Information Needs	III-
Theater Tasking Against Theater EEI Assessment of Theater Assets to Satisfy Theater EEI Theater Capability to Satisfy National EEI Chapter IV—National Foreign Intelligence Capabilities National Foreign Intelligence Assets Availability National Strategic EEI National Assets Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI III	Theater Collection and Reporting Capabilities	III-
Assessment of Theater Assets to Satisfy Theater EEI Theater Capability to Satisfy National EEI Chapter IV—National Foreign Intelligence Capabilities National Foreign Intelligence Assets Availability National Strategic EEI National Assets Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI III	Theater Tasking Against Theater FEI	III-
Theater Capability to Satisfy National EEI	Assessment of Theater Assets to Satisfy Theater EEI	III-
National Foreign Intelligence Assets Availability IV National Strategic EEI IV National Assets IV Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI IV	Theater Capability to Satisfy National EEI	III-
National Strategic EEI	Chapter IV—National Foreign Intelligence Capabilities	IV
National Strategic EEI	National Farsian Intelligence Assets Availability	IV-
National Assets	National Strategic EEI	IV
Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI	National Assets	IV
Assessment of Hational Capability to Cation	Assessment of National Canability to Satisfy Theater EEI	IV
	National Foreign Intelligence Assets in Total	IV
Categories of EEI	Categories of EEI	IV-

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET	
	Page
Chapter V—Conclusions and Recomm	mendations V-1
	V-1 V-7
AN	NEXES
	Page
Appendix 1—Terms of Reference Appendix 2—Co-Chairmen's Mem	Λ-i
B. Study Participants	B-i ership B-1 roup Membership B-3 oup Membership B-5
Appendix 1—Theater Working Gro Appendix 2—Theater Working Gro Appendix 3—Theater Working Gro Appendix 4—National Working Gro Appendix 5—National Working Gr	bility to Satisfy Theater EEI
D. Theater Information Needs Appendix 1—USEUCOM Memora Subject: Essential Elements of In Appendix 2—Criteria for Developm Appendix 3—Distribution of EEL A Appendix 4—Distribution of Timel Appendix 5—Distribution of Timel Appendix 7—Distribution of Timel Appendix 8—Distribution of Timel Appendix 8—Distribution of Timel Appendix 9—Distribution of Timel	D-i
vi	TOP SECRET

25X1	TOP SEC	RET
	Page	
25X1	Appendix 11—Map of Middle East Showing Countries and D-29 Appendix 12—Map of Eastern Europe Showing Countries and	
25X1	D-31	
	E. Theater Collection and Reporting Capabilities E-i Appendix 1—Representative Collection and Reporting Assets by	
	Force Element E-1	
	Appendix 2—Capabilities of Representative Theater Assets E-5	
	F. National Strategic EEI F-1	
	G. National Foreign Intelligence Collection and Reporting Capabilities G-1	
	Appendix 1—Satellite Systems	
	Appendix 2—Airborne Systems	
	Appendix 3—Non-satellite SIGINT Systems	
	H. Definitions and Abbreviations H-1	
	I. Related Studies I-i	
	J. Assessment Matrices (under separate cover) J-i	

TOP SECRET

25X1	TOP SECRET	
ě		
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
25X1	A. PURPOSE AND STUDY ORGANIZATION	
25X1	1. The Pilot Study on National Intelligence Support to Field Commanders responds to an agreement between the Secretary of Defense and the Director of Central Intelligence to conduct a pilot study to size problems in the tactical-national intelligence interface, develop study procedures, and gain insight into possibilities for mutual support between the national foreign intelligence community and the military	
	operating forces.	
25X1	2. The study provides a basis for recommendations by the Joint Chiefs of Staff regarding National Foreign Intelligence Program support that could be made available to satisfy theater intelligence needs for a contingency operation in the	
25X1	and follow-on studies of the tactical-national intelligence interface. In addition, the study assesses theater capabilities to meet sample national	
3	requirements for the contingency situation, and identifies and documents other actions that relate to tactical-national intelligence relationships.	
25X1	3 The study group was chaired jointly by representatives of the Secretary of Defense and the Director of Central Intelligence, who also served as the Joint Staff and IC Staff members of the study group. Other study group members were appointed by each military Service, CIA, USEUCOM, DIA, NSA and NRO. A Theater Working Group, chaired by DIA, developed and assessed theater information needs and capabilities. A National Working Group chaired by the IC Staff developed and assessed national foreign intelligence information needs and capabilities.	25X1
25X1	B. BACKGROUND, TERMS OF REFERENCE AND SCOPE	
25X1	1. The most critical aspect of the pilot study is the comparison of national-level foreign intelligence needs and capabilities with those of the operating forces. Competing priorities, relationships between operations and intelligence and between resources and capabilities, and responsibilities for equipping, maintaining, and commanding the military forces have caused the JCS and the Services to believe that tactical commanders cannot count on the continuing availability of national intelligence support in wartime. In their operational and force development planning, therefore, they believe it essential that military departments and commands retain	

TOP SECRET

1

	TOP SECRET	
25X1	tended to tocus on achieving intelligence activities across resource implications have t	everal years, management interest in intelligence has savings by eliminating duplication and consolidating Services and agencies under executive agents. The ended to cause legitimate concern among the Services ment responsibilities for and command control over
		telligence assets could be adversely affected, degrading
25X1	study group found it necessity. Reference. These deviations,	ce for this pilot study are at Appendix 1, Annex A. The sary to permit some deviations from the Terms of detailed in Chapter I, affected procedures, but not the f the pilot study. The following are the most significant
25X1	a. 🔲 To consider	only theater intelligence and related assets assigned to ted to the contingency operation in the study scenario.
25X1	b. To use samp	le essential elements of information (EEI) developed by and USEUCOM representatives.
25X1	c. To omit the endormal for the contingency situation	xplicit determination of the effect that additional tasking would have on the primary missions of national systems
25X1		rmination of peacetime tasking of national systems that nated to accommodate tasking for the contingency
25X1	e. To consider related to the NFIP.	only national intelligence capabilities in or directly
25X1	4. To create a mana	geable situation for a first, in-depth effort to understand ational relationships, the scope of the pilot study was
25X1		ter intelligence forces available to USCINCEUR for the
25X1		ce capabilities operational by 31 December 1974; and al situation that obtained during the October 1973 Mid
25X1	C. SCENARIO AN	D STUDY ASSUMPTIONS
25X1	1. USCINCEUR	proposed USEUCOM
25X1 25X1	and scenario of broad range of national intel	ilot study scenario. Chapter II discusses USEUCOM development. This scenario permitted assessment of a ligence assets against representative theater EEI, and priorities between the national and theater levels.

TOP SECRET

25X1

,		
25X1		TOP SECRET
25X1	2. Chapter II lists a number of assumptions made by the study group to affected the outcome of the study. The most significant among these were: that contingency situation posed threats of Soviet attacks against the U.S. and European central region; that EEI provided for the pilot study were a trepresentative sample; that theater collection and reporting assets identified USCINCEUR would be available and would operate against theater EEI; that national and departmental level collection and reporting assets in the NFIP wavailable for consideration for tasking against theater EEI; and that adequives (funds and manpower) would be available for national and the collection and reporting assets.	the the rue by all erere tate
25X1	D. THEATER INFORMATION NEEDS AND INTELLIGENCE CAPABILITIES	CE
25X1	1. For this pilot study, a sample of 82 EEI represented theater informat needs for the conduct of conventional offensive operations under scenario. The sample has at least one entry for each type of EEI that would included in a comprehensive listing. Since the sample is not comprehensive, howe it does not provide a basis for analysis of requirements in quantitative ter identification of recurrent requirements, volume assessments, or application of priorities established by USEUCOM and its component commands.	the be ver, ms,
25X1		
25X1	3. Most theater intelligence assets assessed in the study are organic combat units assigned to the Joint Task Force. Of the 40 units and activities include 24 are HUMINT, six COMINT, two IMAGERY, three MASINT, and five musensor. Only six of these assets are in the NFIP.	led,
25X1″	ENATIONAL FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE CAPABILITIES A. NATIONAL STRATEGIC EEI	ND
25X1	1. National foreign intelligence assets available for collecting and report against theater EEI were selected on the basis of their availability and appart capability to support a theater commander without a system or design change. deployment of national intelligence assets for the study was based on acceptance in crises.	rent Гh e
25X1	2. In some cases, study assumptions for use of national assets permit assessments despite problem areas beyond the scope of the study. These assumptions permitted a data base to be established under near-ideal conditions. Effect individual, real-world problems could then be applied to individual systems	ions s of
25X1	groupings of systems. 3. The study group found no officially approved national strategic applicable to a worldwide crisis, such as was postulated by USCINCEUR for	EEI the

3

TOP SECR	ET	
	study. A sample set of assumed national strategic EEI was develope Working Group and agreed to by the National Intelligence Officers basis for judgments as to the extent to which national assets migh work against theater EEI. Of the 57 assumed national strategic EE to theater EEI and were included in the evaluation.	. They provided a it be available to
	F. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS*	
	1. Mutual Intelligence Support a. Conclusion: A large number of studies and activitie aspects of tactical-national intelligence relationships. Each of thes way to improving intelligence support to field commanders. There honly limited effort to pull together the results of these studies, collathe lessons learned from them, or develop a coordinated approach app	e relates in some as been, however, te and synthesize for further work. on efforts, a joint ag to the tactically and prioritize intelligence and ional intelligence ment of his force. ess and accuracy port in wartime. In munity should tually supportive to describe and
	rationalize the division of labor should be pursued as a matter of Conclusion: There is no known documentation for national control of the conclusion of the control of the	
	needs and priorities in wartime.	onai illiormation
	Recommendation: The United States Intelligence requested to address this problem.	
	d Conclusion: The static matrix approach did not perrof the dynamics of the operational environment. In wartime, intellig would be subject to attrition, countermeasures and communications can be assessed best in a gaming approach.	gence capabilities
	dynamic gaming methodologies for use in any follow-on studies. e. Conclusion: With adequate planning, each set of as:	sets (theater and
	national) can offset significant deficiencies in the other. The entire in could be improved, for example, by informing field commanders of the areas of interest that will be tasked to national assets. National sys	ne targets in their
	*In reviewing the respective capabilities of national and tactical assets to respond to notes that less stringent criteria were utilized in measuring the abilities of national assets to	established EEI, DIA respond than was the

·

2

case for tactical assets.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

TOP SECRET

25X1	TOP SECR	ЕТ
<i>i</i>	some high-priority theater targets, permitting tactical commanders to employ their	
	organic and direct support intelligence assets on combat intelligence needs that they otherwise would not be able to cover.	
25X1	Recommendation: Develop procedures to coordinate national targets with theater collection plans at appropriate levels, to include a mechanism for rapidly notifying commanders of targets scheduled for national assets.	
25X1	f Conclusion: Theater assets supporting the Joint Task Force have an	
,	even or better than even chance of satisfying about 80 percent of the theater EEI sample. The majority of theater EEI that could not be covered by theater assets with	
	any degree of certainty related to intentions, judgments and similar intangibles. Sixteen	
1	theater FEI could not be satisfied by theater assets with high or moderate confidence	
	within the time constraints specified by USEUCOM. Of these, national assets could	
*	contribute significantly to the satisfaction of nine. (Details regarding this conclusion are in Chapter V.)	
25X1	(1) Four EEI that could not be satisfied by theater assets could be	
	satisfied by national assets with high or moderate confidence and within the timeliness criteria. These four EEI focused on needs for information outside the area	
	of operations and on capabilities.	
25X1	(2) The remaining five of the nine EEI to which national systems	
,	could contribute significantly, and theater systems could not, concerned primarily	
	weapons location and capabilities of enemy forces.	
25X1	Recommendation: The capabilities of national intelligence assets	
	identified in paragraphs Λ .6.a and Λ .6.b, Chapter V, should be evaluated, updated and tested in joint exercises to determine the extent to which they can support theater	
	intelligence plans. Following the evaluation, and in coordination with USCINCEOR	
	and the IC Staff, the ICS should recommend to the Secretary of Defense and Director	
<i>y</i>	of Central Intelligence specific options for theater support by these national	
	intelligence systems.	
25X1 [/]	g. Conclusion: Although the capabilities of theater intelligence assets	
./	decrease with distance from the combat zone, theater assets can make a significant contribution to national-level intelligence needs and can offset deficiencies in national	
,	capabilities to collect and report on combat operations. Specifically:	
25X1	(1) Forty theater EEI were of interest to national authorities.	
1	Theater assets had an even or better than even chance of satisfying 90 percent of	
	these, assuming that timeliness requirements at the national level are the same as	
0EV4	those of the combat forces. (2) For the study scenario, theater assets appeared to be better able	
25X1	than national assets to satisfy about one-third of the theater EEI considered to be of	
	equal national-level interest.	
25X1	Recommendation: Theater intelligence capabilities should be explicitly	
· ·	considered in national intelligence planning for crisis and contingency situations.	

5

TOP SECRET	
2. Future Actions	(Study Poloted)
	Computer programs and data have dealers and data
collaboration with DIA an	Computer programs and data base developed through
Reference.	e responsive to paragraph 2.b. of the Study Terms of
Recommend	dation: These programs should be further refined during iew toward making them available for planning and
allocating intelligence assets	s.
	The confidence factor judgments of the degree to which
EEI can be satisfied are com	posites of the several questions into which each EEI can
be factored. The judgments	s, while acceptable for the limited purpose of the pilot
study, lack the specificity for	timeliness, accuracy and priority that would be needed
for intelligence planning an	alvsis.
	dation: EEI for future studies of this type should be
factored into specific question	ns. Capabilities judgments should be displayed for each
EEI and its component qu	uestions. In addition, to accommodate assessment of
scenario-constrained capabil	ities, each EEI should be clearly related to the specific
scenario times and events to	which it applies.
c. (Conclusion:	Periodic theater readiness assessments include theater
intelligence assets. Theater s	taffs understand the capabilities and limitations of these
assets, can assess their mis	sion impact, and have ready access to detailed data
concerning them. Initial ass	sessments of theater capabilities for follow-on studies,
therefore, can be made best	in-theater.
\bigcap Recommend	ation: For follow-on studies, Unified & Specified (U&S)
commanders should be reque	ested to provide initial assessments of theater capabilities
and intelligence needs. The ta	ask of matching requirements to national assets would be
done best at the Washington	
d. Conclusion:	There is little confidence in current capabilities to
zone.	munitions from other types of munitions in the combat
	ation: A follow on the last 11 (6) 11
capabilities to identify and o	ation: A follow-on study should specifically address characterize munition types in the combat zone.
e. Conclusions:	There are three combat intelligence problem areas that
appear to have worldwide a	onlicability.
	gence relating to battlefield intentions, judgments, and
similar intangibles cannot be	e collected and reported with certainty.
	ence capabilities of combat forces decrease with distance
from the combat zone.	The second second with distance
(3) Timelii	ness under combat conditions is one of the most difficult
criteria to meet, yet one of t	he most critical to the combat commander.
Recommend	ation: Follow-on studies should address these problem
areas specifically, with a view	toward generalized solutions that can be incorporated
in military doctrine and tact	ics.

TOP SECRET

25X1

25X1 25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1 25X1

25X1	TOP SECRET
25X1	f. Conclusion: Actual experience factors were not available for the analysis of the capabilities of the entire range of collection, reporting and
25X1	communication systems. Recommendation: Capabilities based on actual experience, where they are not now available, should be identified in a future study.
25X1	g. Conclusion: The study organization—a study group of principals supported by two working groups, one focusing on national and the other on theater capabilities and needs—adequately represented the divergent and often conflicting
25X1	interests of the many organizations participating in the pilot study. Recommendation: The organization for any future studies should ensure adequate representation for all divergent views.
25X1	h. Conclusion: The study was sponsored by the DCI and Secretary of Defense, and co-chaired by their representatives. Study participants dealt with matters of intelligence, operations and command and control. Honest differences regarding interaction between intelligence and operations in combat and associated
*	resource allocations surfaced issues that could not be resolved at the level of the study participants.
25X1	Recommendation: Insure future study participation at a level that can address basic issues.
25X1	i. Conclusion: Study and working group members participated in the pilot study as an additional duty, severely limiting the time they could devote to data collection and analysis for the study.
25X1	Recommendation: Depending upon the scope of any future study,

7

Next 211 Page(s) In Document Exempt